



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/919,594 | 07/31/2001 | Margaret Seiler | 5246 P 003 | 2534 |
| 7590 | 04/01/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Wallenstein & Wagner, Ltd. 53rd Floor 311 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-6630 | | | DODDS, HAROLD E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2177 | 13 |
| DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/919,594 | SEILER ET AL. |
| | Examiner Harold E. Dodds, Jr. | Art Unit 2177 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Harold E. Dodds, Jr. (3) _____
 (2) James Muraff. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 March 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The patent application has 52 co-inventors. In the Non-Final Rejection Office Action mailed on 9 March 2004, a request was made for a new oath or declaration because non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations had been made to the oath or declaration. The Applicant stated that complying with this requirement would be an undue burden since several of the individual oaths had been modified without the required initials and dates. The Examiner stated that this requirement could be met by the submission of a corrected data sheet per rule 1.67 (a) (3), which states as follows:

(3) Deficiencies or inaccuracies due to the failure to meet the requirements of § 1.63(c) (e.g., to correct the omission or a mailing address of an inventor) in an oath or declaration may be corrected with an application data sheet in accordance with § 1.76.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



GRETA ROBINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Harold E. Dodds, Jr. 3/31/04

Examiner's signature, if required